Study Meeting 6: Electron-specimen interactions

Zuben P. Brown & Prikshat Dadhwal



Three interrelated topics

- The specimen support
 Gold grids
 - Nanowire grids



Russo & Passmore (2016) J. Struc. Bio. 193:33-44

- The sample in ice
 - Description
 - Air-water interface



• Electron-specimen interactions

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Zheng *et al.* (2017) Nat. Meth. 14(4):331



Summary from last meeting

- Au-Au best grid (or nanowire grid)
- Most proteins (90%) are at the AWI
- Majority of proteins damaged by this?
 At least FAS is (90%)
- Can we use graphene & 1-pyrCA to improve stability & get some nice structures?



Goals for today

- Electrons are ionizing radiation
- Biological samples are damaged by electrons
 - Proteasome
 - Rotavirus VP6
- Damage to the vitreous ice
- Methods to reduce damage
- Specimen charging



Electrons interact elastic or inelastically

- Elastic scattering
 - No change in incident electron energy*
- Inelastic scattering
 - Transfer of energy to sample





Electron exposure & radiation damage



00

IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Glaeser (2016) Meth. In Enzy. 579:19-50

When can we see radiation damage?



Low resolution: bubble formation



25e⁻/Å²

'excess' exposure



Glaeser (2016) Meth. In Enzy. 579:19-50

Proteasome data





Danev *et al.* (2017) *eLife*, 6:e23006

Proteasome data



T1: Frames 3-12 **T2**: Frames 11-24





Danev *et al.* (2017) *eLife*, 6:e23006



- Randomly assigned particles to either even (E) or odd (O) groups
- Compute FSC



(T1)	Black	Frames: 3-12	2.56 Å
(T2)	Blue	Frames: 11-24	3.77 Å
(T12)	Green	Frames: 3-24	2.46 Å



Wang *et al.* (2018) Protein Sci. Unpublished

High and low threshold



Protein Sci. Unpublished

Electron radiation increase heterogeneity

Unpublished results

- E.g., T2 rotates relative to T1
- Increased structural heterogeneity





Wang *et al.* (2018) Protein Sci. Unpublished

Other examples





Grant & Grigorieff (2015) eLife

Should we only use the first frames?

 Early frames have less damage & are less heterogeneous but number of particles (frames) is a very important factor for high resolution





Danev *et al.* (2017) *eLife*, 6:e23006

Decrease in SNR due to damage



COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Grant & Grigorieff (2015) eLife

Changes between early and late



Goals for today

- Electrons are ionizing radiation
- Biological samples are damaged by electrons
 - Proteasome
 - Rotavirus VP6
- Damage to the vitreous ice
- Methods to reduce damage
- Specimen charging



Water molecules are damaged by radiation



In pure water, many of the chemical intermediates shown in Fig. 3 have no alternative but to react with each other, ultimately returning nearly everything back to molecules of water. It is even believed that hydrogen radicals and hydroxyl radicals can convert hydrogen gas and hydrogen peroxide back to water (Le Caër, 2011). This very likely is why pure ice may appear to be unchanged when irradiated in the electron microscope.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Glaeser (2016) Meth. In Enzy. 579:19-50

Vitreous ice is rearranged in the beam

• Structure factor of vitreous ice changes between each frame → **unaligned** frames have strong Thon ring for ice



Meth. In Enzy. 579:19-50

Ways to overcome specimen damage:

- Small probe size
- Cooling the specimen
- Increase kV
- Dose-weighting
- Gold grids?







Egerton & Malac (2004) *Micron* 35:399-409

- Small probe size
- Cooling the specimen
- Increase kV
- Dose-weighting
- Gold grids?

Table 3

Characteristic dose for the removal of specified elements from organic specimens irradiated with 80 keV electrons, determined by EELS (Egerton et al., 1987)

Material	Element	$D_{\rm e}$ (C/cm ²) at 300 K	$D_{\rm e} \ ({\rm C/cm^2}) \ {\rm at} \ 100 \ {\rm K}$
Collodion	N	0.002	0.25
	0	0.006	0.5
	С	0.06	0.3
Formvar	0	0.03	~1
PMMA	0	0.06	0.5
	С	0.5	0.8
Polycarbonate	0	0.5	>5
Cl ₁₆ Cu Pc	Cl	~3	~10



- Small probe size
- Cooling the specimen
- Increase kV
- Dose-weighting
- Gold grids?





Egerton & Malac (2004) *Micron* 35:399-409

10 • Small probe size phase contrast for 10% density change • Cooling the specimen MIP = 6 eV $D_{c} = 0.01 \text{ C/cm}^{2}$ Increase kV • Dose-weighting $\delta(nm)$ for t =10nm Gold grids? $\delta(nm)$ for $t/\lambda_i = 0.1$ C for t =10 nm C for $t/\lambda_i = 0.1$ 0 0 0.1 00 50 100 150 0 incident energy (keV)

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Egerton (2013) Ultramicroscopy 127:100-108

200

Everything is a trade off: lower contrast



- Small probe size
- Cooling the specimen
- Increase kV
- Dose-weighting
- Gold grids?





Zheng *et al.* (2017) Nat. Meth. 14(4):331

- Small probe size
- Cooling the specimen
- Increase kV
- Dose-weighting
- Gold grids?





Russo & Passmore, (2016) Curr. Opin. Struc. Bio. 37:81-89

Specimen charging

- Illumination results in charging
- Charge changes the path of incident electrons.





Russo & Henderson (2018) Ultramic. 187:43-49

Summary





